#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

### Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

3		,	
Client ID:	M08877		
Date Received:	05/17/12		
Date Extracted:	05/18/12		
Date Analyzed:	05/18/12		
Matrix:	Water		
Units:	ug/L (ppb)		

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument:

Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor M08877, F&BI 205257 205257-01 x10

205257-01 x10.034 ICPMS1

ΑP Operator:

Lower  ${\tt Upper}$ Internal Standard: Limit: Limit: % Recovery: Germanium 71 60 125

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 544 Nickel 406  ${\bf Copper}$ 451 Zinc 38.5

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

### Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received:

Date Extracted:

Date Analyzed:

Internal Standard:

Method Blank Not Applicable 05/17/12 05/18/12

Matrix: Units:

ug/L (ppb)

Water

Client: Project: Alaskan Copper Works

Lab ID:

Metro Self Monitor M08877, F&BI 205257 I2-323 mb I2-323 mb.008

Data File: Instrument: Operator:

ICPMS1 AP

or: AP

Lower

Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

% Recovery:

96

Chromium Nickel Copper

Zinc

Analyte:

Germanium

<1 <1 <1 <1

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 05/22/12 Date Received: 05/17/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M08877, F&BI 205257

### QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 205066-02 (Matrix Spike)

_Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.94	115	114	71-130	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	9.04	112 b	111 b	71-120	1 b
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.15	103	102	52-134	1
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	4.05	102	100	51-142	2

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

			$\mathbf{Percent}$	
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	80-119
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	105	83-119
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	104	81-120
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	101	82-120

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## **Data Qualifiers & Definitions**

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $n\,m$  The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

2	7	P		20		<del>-</del>	F	1	•	<u> </u>	7	<del> </del>		, 1	*a
Propert Care	Canada Santa Santa	COM 61186 V.M. Trees.	-	A Draye Sec.							myanara da	M08877	Sample ID	**************************************	C. ALASKAL CO. 628 S. HA
		To the same	1									0/	Lab ID		\$ B
									2			5/2/2	Date	6- 382-4309	thompson
			V		. 1							12:30	Time	4308	S S
		-	·		200				,			HID	Sample Type		SAMPLER PROJECTI PRETICE REMARKS
	N) O NO	J:    -	Gerso										yp.		X 1/00
	O		1 Hanpson			 eri eri							TFS-Diesel		SECT MOVITOR
			\\ \frac{7}{2} \\ \fr										TPH-Gasoline BTEX by 50215 VOCs by \$250		Ž
	1	. 7	A	G								<u> </u>	HFS CRCUNIZA		#04 #04
	16.	68	3	TAN COLCO								•	ce w recen		
	-		Š												
		"	16/6	2480											THE CHILDREN
		u	1:2/p										f		4 4 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

May 22, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 17, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M08877, F&BI 205257 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0522R.DOC